ALBANIAN JOURNAL
OF MATHEMATICS
Volume 2, Number 1, Pages 27–32
ISSN 1930-1235: (electronic version)

SOME VANISHING SUMS INVOLVING BINOMIAL COEFFICIENTS IN THE DENOMINATOR

S.PURKAIT AND B.SURY

Abstract. We obtain expressions for sums of the form $\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^j \frac{j^d\binom{m}{j}}{\binom{n+j}{j}}$ and deduce, for an even integer $d \geq 0$ and $m = n > d/2$, that this sum is 0 or $\frac{1}{2}$ according as to whether $d > 0$ or not. Further, we prove for even $d > 0$ that $\sum_{l=1}^{d} c_{l-1}\frac{(-1)^l\binom{n}{l}l!}{(l+1)\binom{2n}{l+1}} = 0$ where $c_r = \frac{1}{r!}\sum_{s=0}^{r}(-1)^s\binom{r}{s}(r-s+1)^{d-1}$. Similarly, we show when $d > 0$ is even that $\sum_{r=0}^{d} a_r\frac{r!\binom{n}{r+1}}{\binom{2n}{r+1}} = 0$ where $a_r = \frac{(-1)^{d+r}}{r!}\sum_{s=0}^{r}(-1)^s\binom{r}{s}(r-s+1)^d$.

Introduction

Identities involving binomial coefficients usually arise in situations where counting is carried out in two different ways. For instance, some identities obtained by William Horrace [1] using probability theory turn out to be special cases of the Chu-Vandermonde identities. Here, we obtain some generalizations of the identities observed by Horrace and give different types of proofs; these, in turn, give rise to some other new identities. In particular, we evaluate sums of the form $\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^j j^d \frac{\binom{m}{j}}{\binom{n+j}{j}}$ and deduce that they vanish when d is even and $m = n > d/2$. It is well-known [2] that sums involving binomial coefficients can usually be expressed in terms of the hypergeometric functions but it is more interesting if such a function can be evaluated explicitly at a given argument. Identities such as the ones we prove could perhaps be of some interest due to the explicit evaluation possible. The papers [3], [4] are among many which deal with identities for sums where the binomial coefficients occur in the denominator and we use similar methods here.

1. Horrace's identities - other proofs and generalizations

We start with the identities in Horrace's paper which he deduced using probability theory.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11B65, 05A19.
Key words and phrases. Binomial coefficients, difference operators.

Lemma 1.1. *For $m \geq 1, n \geq 0$; we have*
$\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^j \frac{\binom{m}{j}}{\binom{n+j}{j}} = \frac{n}{n+m}$*; and*
$\sum_{j=1}^{m}(-1)^{j-1} j \frac{\binom{m}{j}}{\binom{n+j}{j}} = \frac{mn}{(n+m)(n+m-1)}$.

The lemma can be easily deduced by induction or using the method of [3].

Remark 1.2. *We give another expression for the left hand sides of these identities. Recall the forward difference operator Δ defined on a function f by $(\Delta f)(x) = f(x+1) - f(x)$. As usual, one defines $\Delta^{k+1} f = \Delta(\Delta^k f)$ etc. It is easily seen by induction on m that*

$$(\Delta^m f)(x) = \sum_{r=0}^{m}(-1)^r \binom{m}{r} f(x+m-r).$$

Now, the left hand side of the first identity of Lemma 1.1 is

$$\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^j \frac{\binom{m}{j}}{\binom{n+j}{j}}$$

which is $(\Delta^m g)(0)$ where

$$g(x) = \frac{n!}{(m+1-x)(m+2-x)\cdots(m+n-x)}.$$

Now, one can express $g(x)$ as a partial fraction $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{a_i}{m+i-x}$. Also, each a_j can be found by multiplying both sides by the product $(m+1-x)(m+2-x)\cdots(m+n-x)$ and evaluating at $x = m+j$; we have $a_j \prod_{i \neq j}(i-j) = n!$ for each $j \leq n$. Now, we compute $(\Delta^m g)(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n}(\Delta^m g_i)(x)$ where $g_i(x) = \frac{a_i}{m+i-x}$. Computing, we see that

$$(\Delta^m g)(0) = n! \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{r=0}^{m} \prod_{j \leq n; j \neq i} \frac{1}{j-i} \frac{(-1)^r \binom{m}{r}}{r+i}$$

which easily simplifies to

$$(\Delta^m g)(0) = n \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{r=0}^{m} \frac{(-1)^{r+i-1}\binom{n-1}{i-1}\binom{m}{r}}{r+i}.$$

It is worth noting that although the left hand sides of these identities can be thought of as the action by the $(m+n)$-th difference operator, it does not give anything new and merely reproduces the left hand sides again. Now, by Lemma 1.1, we get $(\Delta^m g)(0) = \frac{n}{m+n}$ and we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1.3.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{r=0}^{m} \frac{(-1)^{r+i-1}\binom{n-1}{i-1}\binom{m}{r}}{r+i} = \frac{1}{m+n}.$$

Doing the same process with the second identity in Lemma 1.1, we have :

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{r=0}^{m} \frac{(-1)^{r+i-1} i \binom{n-1}{i-1}\binom{m}{r}}{r+i} = \frac{mn}{(m+n)(m+n-1)}.$$

As a matter of fact, the identity of Corollary 1.3 can be proved in a much more general form by another manner as follows.

Lemma 1.4.

$$\sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_k} \frac{(-1)^{i_1+\cdots+i_k}\binom{n_1}{i_1}\cdots\binom{n_k}{i_k}}{i_1+i_2+\cdots+i_k+1} = \frac{1}{n_1+n_2+\cdots+n_k+1}.$$

Proof. Writing $(1-t)^{n_1+\cdots+n_k} = (1-t)^{n_1}\cdots(1-t)^{n_k}$ and integrating both sides from 0 to 1 after expanding the right side binomially, we have the identity asserted. □

2. A vanishing theorem

A natural generalization of Lemma 1.1 would be to consider the sums of the form $\sum_{j=1}^{m}(-1)^{j-1}j^d\frac{\binom{m}{j}}{\binom{n+j}{j}}$ for various $d > 1$. We have the following result which first shows how the roles of m and n are interchanged and then implies a vanishing result when $m = n$. In between, we also adopt a method used in [3] for evaluating sums where binomial coefficients appear in the denominator.

Theorem 2.1. *Let θ be a polynomial and let $m + n > deg(\theta)$. Then, the sum*

$$P_{m,n}(\theta) := \sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^j\frac{\theta(j)\binom{m}{j}}{\binom{n+j}{j}}$$

satisfies

$$\binom{m+n}{n}P_{m,n}(\theta) = \sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^j\theta(j)\binom{m+n}{m-j} = \sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{i-1}\theta(-i)\binom{m+n}{n-i} + \theta(0).$$

Further, if θ is an even function and if $m = n$, then $P_{m,n}(\theta) = \theta(0)/2$.
In particular, for $n > 2k \geq 0$, $\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^j\frac{j^{2k}\binom{n}{j}}{\binom{n+j}{j}} = 0$ if $k > 0$ and $= \frac{1}{2}$ if $k = 0$.

Proof. Now $P_{m,n}(\theta) = \sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^j\frac{\theta(j)\binom{m}{j}}{\binom{n+j}{j}} = (\Delta^m\Phi)(0)$ where

$$\Phi(x) = \frac{\theta(m-x)n!}{(m+1-x)(m+2-x)\cdots(m+n-x)}.$$

Now, we divide $\theta(x)$ by the polynomial $\prod_{i=1}^{n}(x+i)$ and write

$$\theta(x) = u(x)\prod_{i=1}^{n}(x+i) + v(x)$$

and $\deg(v) < n$.
Note that if u is not the zero polynomial, we have $\deg(u) < m$ by hypothesis. In particular, $(\Delta^m u)$ is the zero polynomial.
Now, we expand in partial fractions as in Remark 1.2 :

$$\frac{v(m-x)n!}{(m+1-x)(m+2-x)\cdots(m+n-x)} = \sum_{r=1}^{n}\frac{c_r}{m+r-x}.$$

The coefficients c_r are obtained easily as before; we get

$$c_i = \frac{v(-i)n!}{(-1)^{i-1}(i-1)!(n-i)!}.$$

Note that $v(-i) = \theta(-i)$ for all $i = 1, \cdots, n$. Thus,

$$P_{m,n}(\theta) = (\Delta^m \Phi)(0) = (\Delta^m w)(0)$$

where $w(x) = \frac{v(m-x)n!}{(m+1-x)(m+2-x)\cdots(m+n-x)} = \sum_{r=1}^{n} \frac{c_r}{m+r-x}$.

For $i = 1, \cdots, n$ we evaluate $(\Delta^m \frac{1}{m+i-x})(0) = \sum_{r=0}^{m}(-1)^r \frac{\binom{m}{r}}{r+i}$ as in [3] as follows.

$$\sum_{r=0}^{m}(-1)^r \frac{\binom{m}{r}}{r+i} = \sum_{r=0}^{m}(-1)^r \binom{m}{r} \int_0^1 (1-t)^{r+i-1}dt$$

$$= \int_0^1 t^{i-1}(1-t)^m dt = \beta(i, m+1) = \frac{(i-1)!m!}{(m+i)!}.$$

Therefore,

$$P_{m,n}(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \frac{(i-1)!m!}{(m+i)!} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{v(-i)n!}{(-1)^{i-1}(i-1)!(n-i)!} \frac{(i-1)!m!}{(m+i)!}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\binom{m+n}{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{i-1}v(-i)\binom{n+m}{n-i} = \frac{1}{\binom{m+n}{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{i-1}\theta(-i)\binom{n+m}{n-i}$$

because $v(-i) = \theta(-i)$ for all $i = 1, \cdots, n$. which is Adding and subtracting the term corresponding to $i = 0$, we get the expression asserted in the theorem, viz.,

$$P_{m,n}(\theta) = \frac{1}{\binom{m+n}{n}} \sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{i-1}\theta(-i)\binom{m+n}{n-i} + \theta(0).$$

Adding this expression and the expression $\frac{1}{\binom{m+n}{n}} \sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^j \theta(j)\binom{m+n}{m-j}$, it is evident that when $m = n$ and $\theta(i) = \theta(-i)$ for all i, the sum is $\theta(0)$. Taking $\theta(x) = x^{2k}$, the last statement follows. The proof is complete.

□

Remark 2.2. *It is important to note that although $P_{m,n}(\theta)$ can be re-expressed as a multiple of $\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^j\theta(j)\binom{m+n}{m-j}$, and hence, can be viewed as the effect of the $(m+n)$-th order difference operator on a certain function, this does not give any new information but merely reproduces the expression. Thus, it is indeed worthwhile to view $P_{m,n}(\theta)$ rather as the effect of the m-th order difference operator on a certain function.*

We proved the vanishing of $P_{m,n}(\theta)$ when $m = n$ and $\theta(j) = j^{2k}$, but did not evaluate it for general m, n. As we will see, a natural method to evaluate it is to evaluate and use the following sums:

Proposition 2.3. *For $m, n \geq 1, d \geq 0$ we have*

$$T_d := \sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^j(j+1)(j+2)\cdots(j+d)\frac{\binom{m}{j}}{\binom{n+j}{j}} = \frac{d!\binom{n}{d+1}}{\binom{m+n}{d+1}}.$$

We also have

$$S_d := \sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^j j(j-1)\cdots(j-d+1)\frac{\binom{m}{j}}{\binom{n+j}{j}} = \frac{(-1)^d n\binom{m}{d}d!}{(d+1)\binom{m+n}{d+1}}.$$

As usual, the convention is that the empty product (when $d = 0$ here) is understood to be equal to 1.

Proof. As we did in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we express the denominator $\binom{n+j}{j}$ in terms of the beta function and evaluate the sums. We omit details.

□

Corollary 2.4.

$$\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^j j^d \frac{\binom{m}{j}}{\binom{n+j}{j}} = \sum_{l=1}^{d} c_{l-1}\frac{(-1)^l n\binom{m}{l} l!}{(l+1)\binom{m+n}{l+1}}$$

where $c_r = \frac{1}{r!}\sum_{s=0}^{r}(-1)^s\binom{r}{s}(r-s+1)^{d-1}$ *for all* $0 \le r < d-1$.
In particular, if $d > 0$ *is even and* $< 2n$*, then*

$$\sum_{l=1}^{d} c_{l-1}\frac{(-1)^l\binom{n}{l} l!}{(l+1)\binom{2n}{l+1}} = 0$$

with c_l*'s as above.*
Similarly, we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^j j^d \frac{\binom{m}{j}}{\binom{n+j}{j}} = \sum_{r=1}^{d} a_r \frac{r!\binom{n}{r+1}}{\binom{m+n}{r+1}}$$

where $a_r = \frac{(-1)^{d+r}}{r!}\sum_{s=0}^{r}(-1)^s\binom{r}{s}(r-s+1)^d$ *for all* $0 \le r < d$.
In particular, if $d > 0$ *is even and* $< 2n$*, then*

$$\sum_{r=1}^{d} a_r \frac{r!\binom{n}{r+1}}{\binom{2n}{r+1}} = 0$$

with a_r*'s as above.*

Proof. Now $\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^j j^d \frac{\binom{m}{j}}{\binom{n+j}{j}} = \sum_{l=1}^{d} c_{l-1}S_l$ where S_l is as above and where c_l' s are defined by $j^d = \prod_{k=0}^{d-1} c_k j(j-1)\cdots(j-k)$.
If we write

$$x^d = \prod_{k=0}^{d-1} c_k x(x-1)\cdots(x-k)$$

then it is easy to determine c_k's recursively and we find that for $0 \le r < d-1$, we have

$$r!c_r = \sum_{s=0}^{r}(-1)^s\binom{r}{s}(r-s+1)^{d-1}.$$

Thus, Proposition 2.3 implies the first assertion.
Similarly, if we express $x^d = \sum_{r=0}^{d} a_r(x+1)(x+2)\cdots(x+r)$, then we have $\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^j j^d \frac{\binom{m}{j}}{\binom{n+j}{j}} = \sum_{r=1}^{d} a_r T_r$. We may compute the a_r's recursively and find that for $0 \le r < d$, we get

$$(-1)^{d+r} r! a_r = \sum_{s=0}^{r}(-1)^s\binom{r}{s}(r-s+1)^d.$$

□

Acknowledgements: We are indebted to William Horrace for communicating to us his identities which use probability theory and for pointing out (thanks to George Andrews) that they are special cases of the Chu-Vandermonde identities. We are also grateful to the referee who pointed out that some similar results due to A.Sofo appear in the paper titled 'Sums of binomial coefficients in integral form' published in the Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Fibonacci numbers and their application in July 2006 - San Francisco, using different methods.

References

[1] W.C.Horrace - *On the difference of maxima from independent uniform samples and a hypergeometric identity*, Preprint.

[2] M.Petkovsek, H.S.Wilf and D.Zeilberger - *"A=B"*, A.K.Peters 1996.

[3] B.Sury - *Sum of the reciprocals of the binomial coefficients*, European J. Combin. 14 (1993) 351-353.

[4] B.Sury, T.Wang and F-Z.Zhao - *Identities involving reciprocals of binomial coefficients, Journal of Integer Sequences, Vol.7 (2004), Article 04.2.8*

Stat-Math Unit, Indian Statistical Institute, 8th Mile Mysore Road, Bangalore 560059, India.